DH····A	<i>D</i> —H	$\mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot \mathbf{A}$	$D \cdots A$	$D$ — $\mathbf{H} \cdots \mathbf{A}$
173 K				
$N = H(11) \cdot \cdot \cdot Cl^{i}$	0.91 (2)	2.32 (2)	3.178 (1)	158 (2)
$N - H(12) \cdot \cdot \cdot Cl^{ii}$	0.84 (2)	2.27 (2)	3.099 (2)	172 (2)
N—H(13)···Cl <sup>iii</sup>	0.96 (2)	2.20 (2)	3.148 (2)	168 (2)
293 K				
$N - H(11) \cdot \cdot \cdot Cl^{i}$	1.02 (4)	2.20 (4)	3.180 (3)	161 (3)
$N = H(12) \cdot \cdot \cdot Cl^{ii}$	0.83 (4)	2.27 (4)	3.102 (3)	173 (3)
N—H(13)····Cl <sup>iii</sup>	1.10 (4)	2.06 (4)	3.143 (3)	169 (3)
Symmetry codes: (i) $-x$ , $-y$ , $1 - z$ ; (ii) $x$ , $-\frac{1}{2} - y$ , $\frac{1}{2} + z$ ; (iii) $x$ , $y$ , $1 + z$				

# Table 3. *Hydrogen-bonding geometry* (Å, °) for (I)

# Table 4. Predicted bond angles (°) within the phenyl ring of a 2.6 dimethylaberula mononium action

of a 2,6-dimethylphenylammonium cation

	<i>(a)</i>	<i>(b)</i>
C(2)—C(1)—C(6)	123.8	123.7 (2)
C(1)-C(2)-C(3)	117.3	117.3 (2)
C(2)—C(3)—C(4)	120.6	120.7 (2)
C(3)-C(4)-C(5)	120.7	120.4 (3)
C(4)—C(5)—C(6)	120.6	120.7 (2)
C(5)-C(6)-C(1)	117.3	117.3 (2)

References: (a) Domenicano & Murray-Rust (1979); (b) Norrestam & Schepper (1981).

Although the crystals were anisotropically shaped, an absorption correction was not applied because  $\psi$  scans of three reflections produced flat absorption curves and the value of the linear absorption coefficient is small.

MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1991) was used for data collection and cell determination, and TEXSAN software (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1989) was used for data reduction, structure refinement and the preparation of publication material. The structures were solved using SHELXS86 Patterson methods (Sheldrick, 1990) and molecular graphics were produced using ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976).

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: AB1275). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

#### References

- Allen, F. H., Davies, J. E., Galloy, J. J., Johnson, O., Kennard, O., Macrae, C. F., Mitchell, E. M., Mitchell, G. G., Smith, J. M. & Watson, D. G. (1991). J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 31, 187-204.
- Cameron, T. S., Duffin, M. & Singh, E. B. (1976). Cryst. Struct. Commun. 5, 927–929.
- Colapietro, M., Domenicano, A. & Portalone, G. (1982). Acta Cryst. B38, 2825-2829.
- Cook, M. R., Herrmann, W. A., Kiprof, P. & Takacs, J. (1991). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 797–804.
- Domenicano, A. & Murray-Rust, P. (1979). Tetrahedron Lett. 24, 2283-2286.
- Jiang, Z.-T., James, B. D., Liesegang, J. & Day, C. S. (1994). Phys. Status Solidi A, 144, 251-262.
- Jiang, Z.-T., Liesegang, J., James, B. D., Skelton, B. W. & White, A. H. (1995). J. Phys. Chem. Solids. In the press.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1989). TEXSAN. Single Crystal Structure Analysis Software. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.

©1995 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

- Molecular Structure Corporation (1991). MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Norrestam, R. & Schepper, L. (1981). Acta Chem. Scand. Ser. A, 35, 91-103.
- Schomaker, V. & Trueblood, K. N. (1968). Acta Cryst. B24, 63-76. Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Stewart, J. J. P. & Coolidge, M. B. (1993). MOPAC Manual. Frank J. Seiler Research Laboratory, US Air Force Academy, Colorado, USA.

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 2320-2322

# Methyl 2,2<sup>1</sup>-Anhydro-4,6-*O*-benzylidene-3deoxy-3-*C*-[(*R*)-2-hydroxyethyl]- $\alpha$ -D-allopyranoside at 173 K

ANTHONY LINDEN

Organisch-chemisches Institut, Universität Zürich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, CH-8057 Zürich, Switzerland

C. KUAN LEE

Department of Chemistry, National University of Singapore, Kent Ridge, Singapore 0511

(Received 7 June 1995; accepted 3 July 1995)

### Abstract

The 1,3-dioxane ring in the title compound,  $C_{16}H_{20}O_5$ , has an almost undistorted  ${}^{\alpha 4}C_{\alpha 1}$  conformation, while the pyranose ring has a more distorted  ${}^{4}C_1$  conformation. The five-membered C(2,2<sup>1</sup>) anhydro ring has an envelope conformation, with C(3) lying out of the plane formed by the other four atoms.

# Comment

Many naturally occurring compounds which possess biological activity have furopyran structures. Notable among these are azadirachtin (Broughton, Ley, Slawin, Williams & Morgan, 1986; Ley, Santafianos, Blaney & Simmonds, 1987) and miharamycin A and B (Seto et al., 1983); azadirachtin is an insect anti-feedant (Rembold, 1984; Koul, 1984*a*,*b*) and miharamycin A and B are active against the rice blast disease caused by Pyricularia oryzae (Seto et al., 1983). As a result of increasing interest in using simple sugars as chiral synthons, we are pursuing the search for convenient and efficient approaches to the synthesis of furopyran and related fused-ring systems from readily available sugars and their derivatives. In this paper, we describe the synthesis and crystal structure of the title compound, **(I)**.



Fig. 1 depicts the correct absolute configuration of the molecule, which was assigned to agree with the known chirality of methyl  $\alpha$ -D-glucopyranoside, from which (I) was synthesized. The bond lengths and angles, except C(1)—C(2)—C(3) [115.0 (2)°], are normal and generally agree with those of other sugars. The large C(1)—C(2)—C(3) angle is due to the strain introduced by the *cis*-fused five-membered  $C(2,2^1)$  anhydro ring. The pyranose and the 1,3-dioxane rings adopt a doublechair conformation with the phenyl group attached to the 1,3-dioxane ring at C(7) being in an equatorial orientation, so that C(7) has an R configuration. The plane of this phenyl ring is inclined at about 48° to the plane of the 1,3-dioxane ring [H(7)-C(7)-C(11)-C $C(16) = 42(2)^{\circ}$ ]. In this orientation, the C(11)—C(12) bond is only  $18.4(3)^{\circ}$  from eclipsing the C(7)—O(4) bond.



Fig. 1. View of the molecule of (I) showing the atom-labelling scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. H atoms are represented by spheres of arbitrary size.

The torsion angles around the pyranose ring show that the ring conformation is quite distorted. This distortion, which results from the flattening of the C(2) apex due to the *cis*-fused five-membered C(2,2<sup>1</sup>) anhydro ring, is also evident from the puckering parameters (Cremer & Pople, 1975) (Table 3). The distortion is in the direction of the  ${}^{0}H_{5}$  conformation, as indicated by the  $\varphi_{2}$  value. The 1,3-dioxane ring adopts a form closer to the ideal  ${}^{\alpha 4}C_{\alpha 1}$  conformation [ $\theta = 3.5(3)^{\circ}$ , ideal  $\theta = 0^{\circ}$ ]. The fused five-membered anhydro ring has an almost perfect envelope conformation (Table 3) with C(3) lying 0.57 Å above the least-squares plane through C(2), O(2), C(9) and C(10). The maximum deviation of these four atoms from the mean plane is 0.012 Å ( $\chi^2 = 27.7$ ).

The torsion angles about the glycosidic bond, C(1)— O(1), deviate only very slightly from those of the most stable +synclinal and antiperiplanar conformations. This corresponds to the A1 conformer and is generally found for most crystalline  $\alpha$ -D-pyranosides (de Hoog, Buys, Altona & Havinga, 1969; Brown *et al.*, 1972; Taga, Senma & Osaki, 1972; Jeffrey & Nanni, 1985; Lee & Linden, 1994).

## Experimental

A solution of the carbanion (Wadsworth & Emmons, 1961) prepared from ethyl diethylphosphonoacetate (0.7 g) and NaH (20% in oil, 0.36g) in dry ether (20 ml) was added in portions at 273 K to a solution of methyl 2-O-benzoyl-4,6-*O*-benzylidene- $\alpha$ -D-*ribo*-hexopyranosid-3-ulose (0.8 g) (Carey & Hodgson, 1970) in a mixture of ether (10 ml) and tetrahydrofuran (20 ml). The mixture was stirred for 12 h at 273 K, poured into saturated aqueous ammonium chloride, and extracted with ethyl acetate. The extract was dried with Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, concentrated to a syrup, dissolved in ether (50 ml) and stirred with LiAlH<sub>4</sub> (0.2 g) for 12 h at 278 K. Excess LiAlH<sub>4</sub> was destroyed by dropwise addition of ethyl acetate and the solution was filtered and concentrated. The syrupy residue (0.52 g) in toluene (0.55 g) was treated with triphenylphosphine (0.55 g) and diisopropyl azodicarboxylate (0.5 g)and refluxed for 2 h. Flash column chromatography (1:2 etherhexane) of the syrup obtained on concentration of the mixture, gave (I) (0.12 g). Further purification using preparative TLC (1:1 ether-hexane) gave pure (I), m.p. 413–415 K (ether);  $[\alpha]_D$ +124.3° (c 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); analysis calculated for  $C_{16}H_{20}O_5$  C 65.74, H 6.90%; found C 66.02, H 6.77%. Crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained by recrystallization from dry ether.

#### Crystal data

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$\lambda = 0.71069 \text{ Å}$
Cell parameters from 25
reflections
$\theta = 12.0 - 13.0^{\circ}$
$\mu = 0.0978 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
T = 173(1)  K
Prism
$0.50 \times 0.25 \times 0.20$ mm
Colourless

#### Data collection

Rigaku AFC-5R diffractom- $\theta_{\rm max} = 30^{\circ}$  $h = 0 \rightarrow 16$ eter  $k = -1 \rightarrow 24$  $\omega/2\theta$  scans  $l = -1 \rightarrow 10$ Absorption correction: 3 standard reflections none monitored every 150 2954 measured reflections 2833 independent reflections reflections 2136 observed reflections intensity decay: insignificant  $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$  $R_{int} = 0.034$ 

# C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O<sub>5</sub>

Q(Å) $q_2(Å)$ 

 $q_3$  (Å)  $\varphi_2$  (°)  $\theta$  (°)

# D . C .. ....

кејтетет	
Refinement on F	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.31 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
R = 0.0453	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.19 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
wR = 0.0399	Extinction correction:
S = 1.598	Zachariasen (1963)
2136 reflections	Extinction coefficient:
271 parameters	$g = 6(1) \times 10^{-7}$
All H-atom parameters	Atomic scattering factors
refined	from International Tables
$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o) + (0.005F_o)^2]$	for Crystallography (1992
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.0002$	Vol. C, Tables 4.2.6.8 and
•	6.1.1.4)

# Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å<sup>2</sup>)

 $U_{\text{eq}} = (1/3) \sum_{i} \sum_{j} U_{ij} a_{i}^{*} a_{i}^{*} \mathbf{a}_{j} \cdot \mathbf{a}_{j}.$ 

	- 1			
	x	у	z	$U_{eq}$
O(1)	0.3606 (2)	0.1180(1)	0.5912 (3)	0.0344 (6)
O(2)	0.3362 (2)	-0.0046(1)	0.8169 (3)	0.0372 (6)
O(4)	0.7248 (1)	0.08115 (9)	0.8273 (2)	0.0272 (5)
O(5)	0.5220(1)	0.0669(1)	0.4357 (2)	0.0297 (5)
O(6)	0.7941 (2)	0.1542 (1)	0.5770(3)	0.0343 (6)
C(1)	0.4139 (2)	0.0513 (2)	0.5284 (4)	0.0279 (7)
C(2)	0.4337 (2)	-0.0021 (2)	0.6941 (4)	0.0290 (7)
C(3)	0.5375 (2)	0.0183 (1)	0.8203 (4)	0.0253 (7)
C(4)	0.6395 (2)	0.0463 (1)	0.7065 (4)	0.0239 (7)
C(5)	0.6028 (2)	0.1021 (1)	0.5591 (4)	0.0264 (7)
C(6)	0.7092 (2)	0.1252 (2)	0.4491 (4)	0.0317 (8)
C(7)	0.8236 (2)	0.1019(1)	0.7207 (4)	0.0277 (7)
C(8)	0.3203 (3)	0.1634 (2)	0.4392 (6)	0.047 (1)
C(9)	0.3596 (3)	0.0410(2)	0.9793 (5)	0.0376 (9)
C(10)	0.4829 (2)	0.0730(2)	0.9596 (4)	0.0315 (8)
C(11)	0.9119 (2)	0.1403 (2)	0.8439 (4)	0.0275 (7
C(12)	0.8822 (2)	0.1706 (2)	1.0165 (4)	0.0342 (8
C(13)	0.9646 (3)	0.2077 (2)	1.1240 (5)	0.043 (1)
C(14)	1.0783 (3)	0.2151 (2)	1.0571 (5)	0.042(1)
C(15)	1.1078 (2)	0.1851 (2)	0.8879 (5)	0.042(1)
C(16)	1.0254 (2)	0.1475 (2)	0.7800 (5)	0.0365 (9

#### Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

		-	•	
O(1)—C(1)		1.406 (3)	O(6)—C(7)	1.427 (3
O(1)—C(8)		1.433 (4)	C(1)—C(2)	1.537 (4
O(2)—C(2)		1.428 (3)	C(2)—C(3)	1.544 (3
O(2)—C(9)		1.443 (4)	C(3)—C(4)	1.515 (3
O(4)—C(4)		1.449 (3)	C(3)—C(10)	1.527 (4
O(4)—C(7)		1.421 (3)	C(4)—C(5)	1.508 (3
O(5)—C(1)		1.440 (3)	C(5)—C(6)	1.516 (3
O(5)—C(5)		1.428 (3)	C(7)—C(11)	1.511 (4
O(6)—C(6)		1.436 (3)	C(9)—C(10)	1.537 (4
C(1)—O(1)—	C(8)	111.7 (2)	C(2)—C(3)—C(10)	102.3 (2
C(2)—O(2)—	C(9)	109.5 (2)	C(4)—C(3)—C(10)	117.7 (2
C(4)—O(4)—	<b>C</b> (7)	109.4 (2)	O(4)—C(4)—C(3)	110.1 (2
C(1)—O(5)—	C(5)	111.2 (2)	O(4)—C(4)—C(5)	109.2 (2
C(6)—O(6)—	<b>C</b> (7)	113.0 (2)	C(3)—C(4)—C(5)	112.0 (2
D(1)—C(1)—	O(5)	111.4 (2)	O(5)—C(5)—C(4)	109.3 (2
D(1)—C(1)—	C(2)	109.6 (2)	O(5)—C(5)—C(6)	108.8 (2
O(5)—C(1)—	C(2)	110.3 (2)	C(4)—C(5)—C(6)	108.3 (2
D(2)—C(2)—	C(1)	112.2 (2)	O(6)—C(6)—C(5)	108.4 (2
O(2)—C(2)—	C(3)	104.8 (2)	O(4)—C(7)—O(6)	111.5 (2
C(1)—C(2)—	C(3)	115.0 (2)	O(2)-C(9)-C(10)	107.7 (2
C(2)—C(3)—	C(4)	111.3 (2)	C(3)—C(10)—C(9)	102.0 (2
(	D(4)—C(4)—	-C(5)-C(6)	59.4 (3)	
(	D(4)—C(7)—	-O(6)C(6)	-59.2 (3)	
(	D(4)—C(7)—	-C(11)C(12)	-18.4 (3)	
(	D(5)—C(1)—	-O(1)C(8)	68.7 (3)	
(	D(5)—C(1)—	-C(2)-C(3)	45.7 (3)	
(	D(5)—C(5)—	-C(4)C(3)	- 59.9 (3)	
(	D(6)—C(6)—	-C(5)-C(4)	-56.1 (3)	
(	D(6)—C(7)—	-O(4)C(4)	60.0 (3)	
(	D(6)—C(7)—	-C(11)C(12)	102.8 (3)	
(	2(1)—0(5)—	-C(5)-C(4)	68.2 (2)	

C(1) - C(2) - C(3) - C(4)	- 38.9 (3)
C(2) - O(2) - C(9) - C(10)	-1.7 (3)
C(2) - C(1) - O(1) - C(8)	-168.9 (2)
C(2)—C(1)—O(5)—C(5)	-60.3 (3)
C(2) - C(3) - C(4) - C(5)	45.1 (3)
C(5) - C(4) - O(4) - C(7)	-61.0 (2)
C(5)—C(6)—O(6)—C(7)	56.5 (3)

Table 3. Ring-puckering parameters (Cremer & Pople,1975)

Pyran	ose ring	1,3-Dioxane ring	g Anhydro ring
0.1	150 (3)	0.381(3)	0.302(3)
0.5	523 (3)	0.580 (3)	-
328 (	(1)	68 (4)	250.9 (5)
16.0	) (3)	35(3)	-

*MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software* (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1991) was used for data collection and cell determination, and *TEXSAN* software (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1989) was used for data reduction, structure refinement and the preparation of publication material. The structure was solved using *SHELXS*86 direct methods (Sheldrick, 1990) and molecular graphics were produced using *ORTEPII* (Johnson, 1976).

CKL wishes to thank the National University of Singapore for financial support.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: AB1289). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

#### References

- Broughton, H. B., Ley, S. V., Slawin, A. M. Z., Williams, D. J. & Morgan, E. D. (1986). J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. pp. 46–47.
- Brown, G. M., Rohrer, D. C., Berking, B., Beevers, C. A., Gould, R. O. & Simpson, R. (1972). Acta Cryst. B28, 3145-3158.
- Carey, F. A. & Hodgson, K. O. (1970). Carbohydr. Res. 12, 463-465.
- Cremer, D. & Pople, J. A. (1975). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 97, 1354–1358.
- Hoog, A. J. de, Buys, H. R., Altona, C. & Havinga, E. (1969). Tetrahedron, 25, 3365–3375.
- Jeffrey, G. A. & Nanni, R. (1985). Carbohydr. Res. 137, 21-30.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Koul, O. (1984a). Entomol. Exp. Appl. 36, 85-88.
- Koul, O. (1984b). Z. Angew. Entomol. 98, 221–223.
- Lee, C. K. & Linden, A. (1994). Carbohydr. Res. 264, 319-325.
- Ley, S. V., Santafianos, D., Blaney, W. M. & Simmonds, M. S. J. (1987). Tetrahedron Lett. 28, 221-224.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1989). TEXSAN. Single Crystal Structure Analysis Software. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1991). MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Rembold, H. (1984). Adv. Invertebr. Reprod. 3, 481-491.
- Seto, H., Koyama, M., Ogino, H., Tsuruoka, T., Inouye, S. & Otake, N. (1983). Tetrahedron Lett. 24, 1805–1808.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Taga, T., Senma, M. & Osaki, K. (1972). Acta Cryst. B28, 3258-3263.
- Wadsworth, W. S. Jr & Emmons, W. D. (1961). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 83, 1733-1738.
- Zachariasen, W. H. (1963). Acta Cryst. 16, 1139-1144.